DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 ENDORSED City Attorney 2 WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 3 TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #231775 JUN 06 2012 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 **LERK OF THE COURT** 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place WESLEY RAMIREZ San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Deputy Clark Telephone: (415) 554-4674 6 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: vince.chhabria@sfgov.org 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 9 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 10 KARIN S. SCHWARTZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General 11 JOSHUA N. SONDHEIMER, State Bar # 152000 Deputy Attorney General 12 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102-7004 13 Telephone: (415) 703-5615 (415) 703-5480 Fax: 14 E-mail: Joshua.Sondheimer@doj.ca.gov 15 Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, 16 and DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY 17 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 18 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 19 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL Case No. CPF-09-509499 DISTRICT, 20 TENTH JOINT STATUS REPORT Plaintiff, 21 Date: June 14, 2012 VS. Time: 9:30 a.m. 22 302 Dept: THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE Judge: The Honorable Harold E. Kahn 23 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, DAVID Trial Date: Not set 24 MAXWELL-JOLLY, in his official Action Filed: May 21, 2009 capacity as Director, California 25 Department of Health Care Services, and DOES 1 to 10, 26 Defendants. 27

28

In advance of the status conference scheduled for June 14, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., petitioner San Francisco Unified School District ("the District" or "SFUSD") and respondents Department of Health Care Services ("DHCS") and DHCS director Toby Douglas¹ jointly submit the following Tenth Joint Status Report.

This case concerns whether the State is required to reimburse SFUSD for medical services (known as Local Educational Agency ("LEA") services) provided to Medi-Cal eligible children in the district when those services are also available to others without charge. Under California Welfare & Institutions Code section 14132.06, SFUSD is entitled to reimbursement for those medical services if federal financial participation ("FFP") is "available." DHCS has maintained that FFP is not available because the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), which administers the Medicaid program, has refused under its "Free Care Principle" to provide FFP for services provided to Medicaid eligible individuals if those same services were also available to others without charge. Providing services "without charge" for purposes of Medicaid means that no individual or family is charged for the medical care and reimbursement is not sought from potentially responsible insurers or other third parties. SFUSD contends that controlling opinions from the Departmental Appeals Board ("DAB") of the United States Department of Health and Human Services prohibit CMS from denying claims for LEA services based on the Free Care Principle, and that FFP therefore is "available."

On October 8, 2009, the Court ordered that FFP is available for purposes of California Welfare & Institutions Code section 14123.06, but stayed that ruling to give the State time to seek FFP from CMS. Respondent DHCS determined that it would seek FFP from CMS for LEA claims submitted by the District, otherwise reimbursable under federal law, that do not meet the requirements of the "Free Care Principle." DHCS advised the District that it intended to pursue FFP by: (1) requesting FFP from CMS for claims submitted by the District for such LEA services; and (2) if FFP is disallowed, challenging the disallowance before the DAB.

¹ Toby Douglas is the successor to named defendant David Maxwell-Jolly as Director of DHCS.

27

28

After the last status report, CMS issued a positive grant award for the LEA claims submitted by the District. Thus, DHCS will be able to obtain FFP for those claims, and the parties agree that court's stay of its October 8, 2009 order, and the stay of this action entered January 15, 2010 pursuant to the parties' stipulation, may be lifted. The parties are concurrently submitting a stipulation and proposed order to lift the stays.

The two remaining issues in this litigation are whether the District is entitled to a refund of the over \$300,000 the State withheld from the District in 2006 based on the free care principle, and attorneys' fees. Since DHCS and the District received notice of CMS' positive grant award, DHCS and the District have engaged in settlement discussions concerning those issues. Those settlement discussions concluded unsuccessfully at the end of May.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court lift the stay of the October 8. 2009 order and stay of this action entered January 15, 2010 pursuant to the attached stipulation.

Dated: June 5 . 2012

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KARIN'S. SCHWARTZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General

SONDHEIMER

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents

Dated: June 💯

DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Petitioner

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I, HOLLY CHIN, declare as follows: 2 I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the 3 above-entitled action. I am employed at the City Attorney's Office of San Francisco, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 234, San Francisco, CA 94102. 4 On June 6, 2012, I served the following document(s): 5 TENTH JOINT STATUS REPORT 6 on the following persons at the locations specified: 7 Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California 8 Joshua N. Sondheimer Deputy Attorney General 9 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 10 Telephone: (415) 703-5615 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 11 E-mail: Joshua.Sondheimer@doj.ca.gov 12 in the manner indicated below: 13 M BY UNITED STATES MAIL: Following ordinary business practices, I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and mailing 14 with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office for collecting and processing mail. In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) 15 that I placed for collection would be deposited, postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service that same day. 16 BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed 17 envelope(s) and caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand at the above locations by a professional messenger service. A declaration from the messenger who made the delivery is attached or will 18 be filed separately with the court. 19 BY FACSIMILE: Based on a written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax, I transmitted true and correct copies of the above document(s) via a facsimile machine at telephone number Fax #415-554-4699 20 to the persons and the fax numbers listed above. The fax transmission was reported as complete and without error. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, and a copy of the 21 transmission report is attached or will be filed separately with the court. 22 I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 23 Executed June 6, 2012 at San Francisco, California. 24 25

26

27

28